

6 Kenilworth 2021 MJ-HMP Jurisdictional Annual Report

Primary Point of Contact

Oscar Padilla Jr., Sgt.
419 Richmond Rd.
Kenilworth, IL 60043
Telephone: 847-251-2141
Email Address: opadilla@vok.org

Alternate Point of Contact

Bryan Carlson, Sgt.
419 Richmond Rd.
Kenilworth, IL 60043
Telephone: 847-251-2141
Email Address: bcarlson@vok.org

Annual Reporting Year: 2021

Background: Cook County and participating municipalities in the county developed a hazard mitigation plan to reduce risk from all hazards by identifying resources, information, and strategies for risk reduction. The federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires state and local governments to develop hazard mitigation plans as a condition for federal disaster grant assistance. To prepare the plan, the participating partners organized resources, assessed risks from natural hazards within the county, developed planning goals and objectives, reviewed mitigation alternatives, and developed an action plan to address probable impacts from natural hazards. By completing this process, these jurisdictions maintained compliance with the Disaster Mitigation Act, achieving eligibility for mitigation grant funding opportunities afforded under the Robert T. Stafford Act.

Purpose: The purpose of this report is to provide an annual update on the implementation of the action plan identified in the Cook County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan. The objective is to ensure that there is a continuing and responsive planning process that will keep the Hazard Mitigation Plan dynamic and responsive to the needs and capabilities of the partner jurisdictions. This report discusses the following:

- Natural hazard events that have occurred within the last year
- Changes in risk exposure within the planning area (all of Cook County) Mitigation success stories
- Review of the action plan
- Changes in capabilities that could impact plan implementation
- Recommendations for changes/enhancement.

Hazard Analysis

Hazards that represent a county-wide risk are addressed in the Risk Assessment section of the 2019 Cook County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update. This section only addresses the hazards and their associated impacts that are **relevant** and **unique** to the municipality.

Flood: The Village experiences flooding on Green Bay Rd. between Wayland Ave. and Roger Ave. In addition to this jurisdiction-specific hazard impact, the debris build-up at the Skokie ditch can cause Roger Ave. and several homes on that street to flood. It is important to note that several side streets in our southeast quadrant are currently prone to flooding.

Tornado and High Wind: While no tornado has touched down in the area, high winds have been a major problem during severe weather events

Natural Hazard Events in 2021

Instructions: Please use the **Edit** Tool to describe new hazard occurrences/incidents from the past year that have impacted your jurisdiction and had a measurable impact on people or property. (Example: A tornado occurred on [Date] and impacted [insert area] and caused damage to [insert damages]). You may also use the Edit Tool to suggest any modifications/improvements to the hazards unique to your community, as described below from the 2019 plan.

Describe 2021 Natural Hazard Events Here: [Type Here]

Mitigation Strategies and Actions

The heart of the mitigation plan is the mitigation strategy, which serves as the long-term blueprint for reducing the potential losses identified in the risk assessment. The mitigation strategy describes how the community will accomplish the overall purpose, or mission, of the planning process.

New Mitigation Actions

Please submit [here](#)

Ongoing Mitigation Actions

The following are ongoing actions. During the 2019 update, these "ongoing" mitigation actions and projects were modified and/or amended, as needed. Reviewers of this report should refer to the Hazard Mitigation Plan for more detailed descriptions of each action and the prioritization process. Address the following for each mitigation action:

- Was any element of the action carried out during the reporting period?
- If no action was completed, why?
- Is the timeline for implementation or the action still appropriate?
- If the action was completed, does it need to be changed or removed from the action plan?

TABLE: HAZARD MITIGATION ACTION PLAN MATRIX

Completion status legend:
N = New **O** = Action Ongoing toward Completion
C = Project Completed **R** = Want Removed from Annex **X** = No Action Taken

2021 Status	2020 Status	2019 Status	Hazards Mitigated	Objectives Met	Lead Agencies	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	Timeline/Projected Completion Date (a)
Action K1.1 —Where appropriate, support retrofitting, purchase, or relocation of structures in hazard-prone areas to prevent future structure damage. Give priority to properties with exposure to repetitive losses.								
Status Description:								
O		Ongoing	All	7, 13	Village of Kenilworth	High	FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grants	Long-term (depending on funding)
Action K1.2 —Continue to support the countywide actions identified in this plan.								
Status Description:								
O		Ongoing	All	All	Village of Kenilworth	Low	General Fund	Short- and long-term
Action K1.3 —Actively participate in the plan maintenance strategy identified in this plan.								
Status Description:								
O		Ongoing	All	3, 4, 6	DHSEM Village of Kenilworth	Low	General Fund	Short-term
Action K1.4 —Consider participation in incentive-based programs such as the Community Rating System, Tree City, and StormReady.								
Status Description:								
O		Ongoing	All	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13	Village of Kenilworth	Low	General Fund	Long-term
Action K1.5 —Maintain good standing under the National Flood Insurance Program by implementing programs that meet or exceed the minimum NFIP requirements. Such programs include enforcing an adopted flood damage prevention ordinance, participating in floodplain mapping updates, and providing public assistance and information on floodplain requirements and impacts.								
Status Description:								
O		Ongoing	Flooding	4, 6, 9	Village of Kenilworth	Low	General Fund	Short-term and ongoing
Action K1.6 —Where feasible, implement a program to record high water marks following high-water events.								
Status Description:								
O		Ongoing	Flooding, Severe Weather	3, 6, 9	Village of Kenilworth	Medium	General Fund; FEMA Grant Funds (Public Assistance)	Long-term
Action K1.7 —Integrate the hazard mitigation plan into other plans, programs, or resources that dictate land use or redevelopment.								
Status Description:								
O		Ongoing	All	3, 4, 6, 10, 13	Contracted Engineer	Low	General Fund	Short-term
Action K1.8 —Update the Village Comprehensive Emergency Management plan to include relevant data for the Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan.								
Status Description:								
O		Ongoing	All	1, 2, 5	Emergency Manager	Medium	General Revenue, Grants	Long-term
Action K1.9 —Clearing the Skokie ditch of debris to prevent urban flooding and allow the flow of stormwater through town into Lake Michigan.								
Status Description:								
O		New	Flood	2, 3, 4, 13	Kenilworth Public Works	Low	Village of Kenilworth	2019/2020
Action K1.10 —Implementation of Infrastructure Plan for Urban Flooding Mitigation								
Status Description: Northeast quadrant of town has gotten new permeable asphalt in roadways to alleviate flooding. The Southeast quadrant of town has begun to move utilities and prep for its permeable asphalt pouring.								
O		New	Flood	1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 10, 12	Village of Kenilworth	TBD	TBD	2023
Action K1.11 —Build a Village House to be Used as an E.O.C.								
Status Description:								
R		New	All	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	Village of Kenilworth	\$11,000,000; High	Currently Seeking Funding	TBD

a. Ongoing indicates continuation of an action that is already in place. Short-term indicates implementation within five years. Long-term indicates implementation after five years.

Mitigation Success Stories: Examples of mitigation success stories include, but are not limited to: received a Grant, completed a major mitigation project, etc.

Future Needs: Examples of future needs include, but are not limited to: receiving training to better understand the mitigation grant application process, studies that may be needed to better understand hazards/risks, etc.